Cost of an episode of diabetic foot ulcer in Spain

Marinel.lo J¹, March J², Blanes JI³, Martínez-Aguilar E⁴, Escudero JR⁵, Masegosa A⁶, Lizano C⁷, Massó JM⁷, Yébenes M⁸, Casado MA⁸ ¹Hospital de Mataró, Barcelona, ²Hospital de Getafe, Madrid, ³Hospital Dr. Peset, Valencia, Spain; ⁴Hospital de Navarra, Pamplona, ⁵Hospital Sant Creu I Sant Pau, Barcelona, 6Complejo Hospitalario Universitario, Albacete, 7Praxis Pharmaceutical S. A., Spain; 8Pharmacoeconomics & Outcomes Research Iberia, Spain

INTRODUCTION

IN RODUCTION Diabetes mellitus is a chronic disease, which has become one of the major health problems in the world due to its high prevalence and socioeconomic impact. (Matricali 2007, Oliva 2004, O'Brien 2003). In 1995, the World Health Organization calculated that 135 millions adult people had diabetes and estimated that this figure would increase to 360 millions in 2005. One of the most frequent complications of diabetes is the diabetic foot syndrome. The economic burden of diabetic foot is substantial, due to the requirement of long term treatments for lesion healing, its high recurrence rate and the high risk of lower extremity amputation (LEA) associated. Diabetes mellitus increases 10 to 20 times the risk of lower extremity amputation (van Houtum 1996, Wrobel 2001). It is estimated that between 15-25% of diabetic patients show feet problems due to the appearance of ulcers. 14-20% of these patients will suffer a LEA which approximately represents one in every fifteen diabetic people. Aproximately 80 % of lower extremities amputations are preceded by diabetic foot ulcers (Apelquist 2000, Ortegon 2004) and 30-40% of patients suffering an amputation, will lose the contralateral lower extremity in 3 years (Group TG 2000, Gomis 1955), which make diabetes mellitus the major cause of non-traumatic amputation in the world.

andputation, will loss the contratatinate an lower externity in 5 years (croup 16 2000, comins 1990), which make diabetes mentus the major cause of non-tratatinate amputation in the world. In addition to their social and sanitary costs, diabetic foot ulcers cause high disability and decrease the health related quality of life of these patients (Group TG 2000). The development and implementation of appropriate prophylactic programs, mainly focused on the self-care of this type of patients, could diminish the amputation rates by 49-85% (Moreno 1997, Apelqvist 2000). Several studies have analysed the costs of diabetic foot or its complications in development countries as Sweden, Australia and Austria (Apelqvist 1995, Davis 2006, Habacher 2007). In addition, a study performed in 14 European centres has been recently published (Prompers 2008), although the Spanish contribution was very low (only one centre). This study examined the use of resources and costs of diabetic foot treatment. Apart from this, there are no data available in the literature about the costs of diabetic foot in the Spanish population. Thus, the objective of the present study was to obtain data concerning resource consumption in patients with diabetic foot syndrome and to estimate the direct sanitary costs per patient associated to an episode of non-complicated superficial full-thickness neuropathic ulcer of diabetic foot in Spani.

METHODS Design of analysis

Design of analysis This study has an observational, retrospective and multicenter design. Adult patients (older than 18 years) of both genders, with a previous history of non-complicated superficial neuropathic ulcer of at least 10 cm² or non-complicated deep diabetic foot ulcer, without lower extremity ischemia (ankle brachial index (ABI) between 0.75 and 1.3), and with the study ulcer completely resolved due to wound healed or amputation were included. The complete ulcer episode should have occurred between January 2007 and December 2008. Patients with ischemic or neuro-ischemic ulcers or with foot infection at the time of inclusion were excluded. When patients had more than one ulcer episode during the study period, the most recent ulcer episode to the date of data collection was selected. After Ethics Committee approval and following the respective data confidentiality regulations, patient recruitment was performed from February 1st 2009 to June 15th 2009. The study period for each patient was the duration of the complete selected ulcer episode, i.e. the period from the diagnosis of the diabetic foot ulcer in the hospital to the definitive hospital discharge of that patient, due to complete ulcer healing or amputation. Collection of study data was performed in an unique visit, at which information on the demographic variables (date of birth, sex and employment status at the time of the ulcer), clinical ones (concomitant diseases, aspects referred to the study ulcer and previous ulcers) and data from resources consumption associated to the diagnosis and treatment of the episode of diabetic foot lesion was compiled. For collection of this information, the hospital computerised clinical database systems, medical records and discharge reports were used. Cost Estimation

The estimation of costs was performed by identification and subsequent quantification of health resources used in the treatment of the diabetic foot episode, assigning an specific unitary cost to each of these resources. Table I shows the more relevant unitary costs employed for assessment of the used resources, with their respective references. Analysis was carried out according to the perspective of the National Health System, taking into account direct sanitary costs, exclusively . Results are shown as total cost per ulcer episode. All costs are expressed in Euros () from year 2009.

costs, exclusively. Results are shown as total cost per ulcer episode. All costs are expressed in Euros (e) from year 2009. For estimation of direct sanitary costs, the following resources related to the diabetic foot episode were quantified: a) hospital services: hospital stays and visits to the hospital emergency department; b) surgeries: number and type of surgery required for the treatment of the ulcer under study, c) medical and nurse visits: visits carried out to hospitals, specialists, outpatients clinics and private clinics (in all cases, they have been considered as consecutive visits); d) laboratory and microbiological tests: tests performed to the patients for the diagnosis and treatment of the neuropathic ulcer; e) antibiotics and pharmacological treatment following medical prescription; f) dressings of neuropathic ulcer; type of dressings and place where it was carried out, g) orthesic devices: use required of orthesic devices as consequence of the diabetic foot ulcer. No other costs as loss of productivity, time or money inverted by patients or caregivers were evaluated in this study. The costs of health resources were obtained from the e-Salud database of health costs (Oblikue Consulting), updated to January 1sd 2009. The Drug Catalogue (Consejo General de Colegios Oficiales de Farmacéuticos de España 2000) were used for expendend decase as explicited to the prave drive device of conservicing the costs of Decoder decoder decoder decoder as explicited to the prave drive devices as consequence of the clinetic devices as consequence of the Decoder decoder as consecutive.

2009) was used for assessing the costs of pharmacological treatments. In order to calculate the average daily cost of antibiotic treatment, the standard dosage established in the technical Summary of Product Characteristics of each speciality was used. The statistical software SPSS (Statistical Product and Service Solutions, Chicago, III) 15.0. was used for the performance of the statistical analysis of cost evaluation. For description of the continuous variables the mean was used, for the description of categorical variables the number and percentage of patients per response category were used. For the global score 95% confidence interval was used.

RESULTS

Patients demographics and comorbidities				Patients resource consumption by episode of diabetic foot ulcer					Average direct sanitary cost per episode of diabetic foot ulcer (€2009)			
Number of patients	92	-		Unit	Mean	95% IC LI	95% IC LS		Average	95% CI LI	95% C	
Gender, %			Hospital Stays			-		Hospital Stays				
Male	76.9		Hospital Stays	Admission				Hospital admission	5749.82	3527.78	797	
Female	23.1	-	Hospital admission	number	1.37	1	5		5745.02	5521.10	131	
Age, years (range)	65 (36-88)	-	Inhospital stay	days	23.86	19.15	28.57	Visit to the Emergency Unit	116.90	89.72	14	
Age, %	1.1		Emergency room	visits	1.14	0.87	1.40	Total	6314.15 €	3694.25	902	
30-39 years 40-49 years	8.8		Visits to Specialists					Total	6314.15 C	3094.25	902	
50-59 years	23.0							Visits to Specialists				
60-69 years	30.4		Primary Care Physician	visits	2.16	2.80	3.13	Primary Care		64.64	7	
More than 70 years	35.9		General Surgery	visits	0.58	0.25	0.92	-	49.90			
Employment status, %	35.9	-	Vascular Surgery	visits	1.22	0.25	1.73	General Surgery	28.14	12.11	4	
	13.0		Endocrinology	visits	2.58	1.12	4.04		20.14		-	
Employed worker	13.0		Orthopedist	visits	0.98	0.29	1.67	Vascular Surgery	56.73	33.36	8	
Unemployed	1.1		Rehabilitation	visits	0.50	0.25	0.98	Endocrinology	147.79	64.45	23	
Housewife	6.5			VISILS	0.67	0.36	0.90		147.75			
Retired	50.0		Laboratory tests, diagnosis					Orthopedist	38.04	11.47	6	
Unknown	29.4		and microbiological					Rehabilitation	47.26	25.60	6	
Average age at the time of diabetes diagnosis, years (range)	48 (3-74)	-	Sample culture	tests	1.94	1.47	2.41					
			Doppler	tests	0.34	0.24	0.45	Total	447.15 €	215.67 €	75	
		-	Hemogram	tests	3.63	2.78	4.47	Laboratory, diagnostic a	nd microbiological			
Mean time from the diagnosis of	16,8		X-ray	tests	1.25	1.07	1.42	Sample culture	10.51	7.99	1	
diabetes to the ulcer diagnosis, years	10,0		Surgical procedures					Doppler	23.58	16.62	3	
		-						Hemogram	25.63	19.66	3	
Comorbidities,%			Amputation	surgeries				X-rays	21.98	18.86	2	
Stroke	41.3		Amputation	adigenea	0.69	0.56	0.82	Total	312.95 €	186.44 €	44	
Dyslipemias	25.0		Debridement	surgeries	0.19	0.008	0.38	surgical procedures				
Hypertension	61.9				0.19	0.008	0.36	Amputation	1.649.49	1344.90	19	
Myocardial infarction	19.5		Skin Graft	surgeries	0.01	0	0.03	Debridement	648.32	27.42	12	
Chronic Renal Insufficiency	30.4							Graft	49.40	0	14	
Others	64.1		Antibiotic therapy					Total	2410.90 €	1372.33 €	352	
		-	Ertapenem	cost/day	1.33	0.52	2.15	Antibiotic therapy				
			Piperaciclin – Tazobactam	cost/day	0.27	0	0.65					
			Vancomicin	cost/day	0.79	0.17	1.40	Ertapenem Piperaciclin –	84.79 €	33.01	13	
Topical ulcer care			Ulcer care / dressings					Tazobactam	12.54 €	0.00	3	
ropical dicci care			Dressing at the outpatient	times	28.87			Vancomicin	23.48 €	5.32	4	
			clinics and hospital			14.52	43.22	Total	239.37 €	48.17 €	47:	
otics								Ulcer care and dressing	5			
			Dressing at home by health professionals	times	4.03	0	9.97	Cures in outpatient clinics and hospital	1079.32	543.08	16	
		Hospital	Orthesic devices	cost/patient	0.15	0.14	0.16	Cures at home by health professionals	264.90	0.00	65	
tic	a	admission	Shoes Orthesis, insoles and		0.22	0.21	0.23	Total	2864.16 €	1127.40 €	475	
al			discharge filters Prothesis	cost/patent	0.08	0.07	0.08	Orthesic devices (€per		0.14		
			1 10010313	cosepatient				Shoes	0.15	0.14		

וספות	ISSION

Surgery

Emergency

Discussion Diabetes mellitus represents a great social and sanitary cost. Also, in spite of the fact that more resources are being used for prevention and treatment of the disease, its prevalence increases constantly. On the other side, the costs associated to the treatment of diabetes has been increased by the costs associated to its complications. One of the most frequent complication is the diabetic foot ulcer, which entailed high expenses. In the present study, the average cost per patient with an episode of diabetic foot ulcer in Spain is estimated at 12525.12€.

0.20

0.42 €

6644 68

0.21

0.45 €

12525 12

Prothesis

hetic

0.22

0.47 €

18819 24

associated to the relation of ubdete for output associated to its oblight addition to be of me index index

the indirect costs related to the loss of productivity of patients and caregivers. In order to consider the magnitude of these costs it has to be taken into account, for example, that in the study by Prompers the indirect costs in the group of amputated patients represented 3% of the total costs of diabetic foot management and 681€ (Prompers 2008). So, it is possible that the costs estimated in the present study could be underestimating the total costs of diabetic foot management and 681€ (Prompers 2008). So, it is possible that the costs estimated in the present study could be underestimating the total costs of diabetic foot management and 681€ (Prompers 2008). So, it is possible that the costs estimated in the present study could be underestimating the total costs of diabetic foot management and 681€ (Prompers 2008). So, it is possible that the costs estimated in the present study could be underestimating the total costs of diabetic foot management and 681€ (Prompers 2008). So, it is possible that the costs estimated in the present study could be underestimating the total costs of diabetic foot management and 681€ (Prompers 2008). So, it is possible that the costs estimated in the present study could be underestimating the total costs of diabetic foot management and 681€ (Prompers 2008). So, it is possible that the costs estimated in the present study could be underestimating the total costs of diabetic foot management and 681€ (Prompers 2008). So, it is possible that the costs estimated in the present study could be underestimating the total costs of diabetic foot management and 681€ (Prompers 2008). So, it is possible that the costs estimated in the present study could be underestimating the total costs of diabetic foot management and 681€ (Prompers 2008). So, it is possible that the costs estimated in the present study could be underestimating the total costs of diabetic foot management and foot manage

In summary, diabetic foot is one of the complications of diabetes mellitus that produces a high economic impact in the health systems. In consequence, after estimation in this study and others published in different countries, of the costs that its management involves, it would be necessary to carry out primary and secondary prophylaxis programs in order to decrease the occurrence of diabetic foot ulcers in patients with diabetes. By doing this, the decrease in health related quality of life of these patients would be avoided, as well as the risk of losing the extremity and also the use of the high number of sanitary resources its treatment involves.